



IFW

Kevin W Jameson  
148 Edgebank Place NW  
Calgary AB  
Canada T3A 4L4

December 29, 2004

Trent J Roche  
USPTO  
Patent Examination Office

In Reply To: Your office action of December 10, 2004.  
Application No: 09/885,077  
Application Name: COLLECTION MAKEFILE GENERATOR  
Number of Pages: 13 pages in this response, including claims pages

Dear Mr. Roche:

This is my response to your office action sent out Dec 10, 2004.

I apologize for not getting the amended claim formats right according to the 37 CFR 1.12 rule that you correctly identify, and thank you for the clear example of correct format that you provided. As you correctly noted, I am an inventor Pro Se who is still learning the rules.

I have done my best in this response to present my amended claims in accordance with USPTO rules, and have only included the amended claims in this response. I trust that it is not necessary for my supporting arguments from the previous response to be reproduced again here, since they are unchanged at the time of this writing.

## 1 Summary of Revised Claims

I have revised independent claims 1, 10, and 19 to overcome indefiniteness objections, to more clearly cite my inventive steps and structures in the claims.

In accordance with USPTO patent rules, old text is shown in ~~strikeout~~ font, and new text in the clauses added to the claims has been underlined.

In addition, I have added parenthetical labels such as (Currently amended) or (original) to all claims, as required by patent amendment rules.

Hopefully these changes will bring my amended claims into proper conformance with USPTO rules.

## 2 Request for withdrawal of all USPTO objections.

To overcome all indefiniteness objections, I have modified all independent claims to recite additional inventive structure in the claim phrases.

To overcome all obviousness objections, I have provided reasoning (in my previous response to the previous office action) that explains why the present invention is not obvious after the prior art that was cited by the examiner.

Specifically, the applicant respectfully submits that the present application is not obvious after FUJII or LU, and that all claims now comply with USPTO patent rules.

Accordingly, the applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and withdrawal of all objections.

I hope that this response will allow continued prosecution of my patent application. If you require more changes, I would be happy to carry them out.

Respectfully yours,

Kevin W Jameson  
Inventor Pro Se